

Patent  
Office



For Information

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Regulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Controller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as fully filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 2006 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration or the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

*Andrew Gersey*

Dated 30 June 2006

**CERTIFIED COPY OF  
PRIORITY DOCUMENT**





# Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
Gwent NP9 1RH

1.	Your reference	BP-09-0412/CAL/ck		
		22 DEC 2003		
2.	Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part)	0329697.7		
3.	Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)	Prail Price Richardson Diagnostics Limited 7 Ivory House St Katherine by the Tower London E1 9AT		
	Patents ADP number (if you know it)			
	If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation	United Kingdom		
		7376437001		
4.	Title of the invention	Detector		
5.	Name of your agent (if you have one)	Phillips & Leigh 5 Pemberton Row London EC4A 3BA		
	"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)			
	Patents ADP number (if you know it)	0001289001		
6.	If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number	Country	Priority application number (if you know it)	Date of filing (day / month / year)
7.	If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application		Date of filing (day / month / year)
8.	Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if: a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or c) any named applicant is a corporate body. See note (d))	Yes		

## Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	22
Claim(s)	2
Abstract	0
Drawing(s)	4 + 4 <i>ll</i>

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translation of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*) 1

Request for preliminary examination and search (*Patents Form 9/77*) 1

Request for substantive examination (*Patents Form 10/77*) 1

Any other documents  
(please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

*Phillips Scheyn*

22 December 2003

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom  
Clare Lorimer  
020 7822 8888

### Warning

*After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.*

### Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- d) If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Statement of inventorship and of  
right to grant of a patent



The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
Gwent NP9 1RH

1.	Your reference	BP-09-0412/CAL/ck
2.	Patent application number (if you know it)	0329697.7
3.	Full name of the or of each applicant	Prail Price Richardson Diagnostics Limited 7 Ivory House St Katherine by the Tower London E1 9AT
4.	Title of the invention	Detector
5.	State how the applicant(s) derived the right from the inventor(s) to be granted a patent	Deed of Assignment
6.	How many, if any, additional Patents Forms 7/77 are attached to this form (see note (c))	0
7.	I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and on any extra copies of this form) is/are the inventor(s) of the invention which the above patent application relates to.  Signature <i>Phillips &amp; Keegan</i> Date 22 December 2003	
8.	Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom	Clare Lorimer 020 7822 8888

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there are more than three inventors, please write the names and addresses of the other inventors on the back of another Patents Form 7/77 and attach it to this form.
- When an application does not declare any priority, or declares priority from an earlier UK application, you must provide enough copies of this form so that the Patent Office can send one to each inventor who is not an applicant.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**

Enter the full name, address and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames

Robert Graham PRICE  
10 Highfield Road  
Northwood  
Middlesex  
HA6 1ET

Patents ADP number (if you know it): 2557262002

Nicola Karen BROWNE  
17 The Close  
Chequers Park  
Wye  
Kent  
TN25 5BD

Patents ADP number (if you know it): 8777237001

Reminder

Have you signed the form?

Patents ADP number (if you know it):

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



# DUPLICATE

## DETECTOR

### Technical Field

The present invention discloses a method for the rapid detection of bacteria particularly in body fluids, but also in other applications such as water purification and in the food preparation industry; and also to devices to undertake detection of bacteria.

### Background Art

Almost 5 million women suffer from a urinary tract infection (UTI) each year. Urinary tract infection affects about 20% of women annually, and approximately 50% of women suffer from at least one bout of UTI in their lifetime, contributing significantly to hospital laboratory workloads. Following an ESCMID study carried out in 2001, it was shown that only 19% of hospitals within the EU carry out standard screening procedures as the norm. Asymptomatic bacteraemia in catheter patients are largely responsible for the increase in the number of antibiotic resistant organisms found in the hospital setting.

UTI is predominantly caused by a small range of organisms: *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococci*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Enterococci*, *Klebsiella*; *Bacillus* and *Candida albicans* have also been implicated in UTI (Gruneberg, 1981). *Escherichia coli* is the most common, however *Candida* infections are of particular concern as the organism is capable of ascending the urinary tract and it may lead to disseminated disease including renal disease. It is generally recognised that more than  $10^5$  bacteria/ml are needed to confirm the presence of urinary tract infection whereas values below  $10^3$  bacteria/ml are largely due to cross contamination. The development of a rapid method for detecting UTI, and identifying the causative organism is of primary importance, however, the availability of a generic method allowing improved detection of specific types of bacteria in body fluids would have wider medical significance. For example, the detection of bacteraemia (bacteria in blood) is of critical importance. There are additionally many non-clinical situations in which rapid differential diagnosis of microorganisms would be of significance, including detection of faecal indicator bacteria.

The role of a universal detection system for UTI organisms has already been demonstrated and a commercial kit based on nitrate detection is marketed in the USA. However this test is based upon a metabolic activity that is not present in all UTI organisms, for example

*Enterococcus* spp. and therefore could give false negative results. Other limitations of this test, quoted by the advertiser ([www.homepharmacy.com](http://www.homepharmacy.com)) are that false negative results may be produced through a lack of nitrates in a vegetarian diet or excess intake of ascorbic acid (Vitamin C). Urine also needs to be retained for 4-6 hours prior to the test. When trying to control a UTI infection the patient is advised to drink increased quantities of fluid thus posing a problem for urine retention.

When testing for the presence of a microorganism, it is common practice in microbiology to incorporate a hydrolytic chromogenic substrate into the growth medium, so that any organism expressing the appropriate enzyme for this substrate will hydrolyse it thereby releasing the coloured chromogen and acting as an indicator for the presence of a microorganism. This has commonly been carried out with X-D-galactosides and X-D-glucuronides, which detect coliforms and *E. coli*, respectively.

US 5 221 606 discloses the use of chromogenic phenols, which have solubilising groups attached to them, such as carboxyl and quaternary ammonium groups, or both, which impart a certain degree of water solubility to their derived carboxylic ester derivatives.

Merely making a soluble chromogenic substrate is not enough however. It is important that the colour released on action of the enzyme remains localised with the bacterial colony that produced the enzyme, otherwise identification of bacteria would be difficult. Ideally a test would provide a chromogenic substrate which would impart a characteristic colour to different bacterial colonies.

We have demonstrated the selective absorption of chromophoric phenols (released from chromogenic substrates by microbial enzymatic activity) onto membranes in US Patent No 5,221,606. It has been shown that several of the chromophores are tightly bound to types of membrane. Also, on binding, the intensity of the colour increases and may change (e.g. from red to blue), depending on the particular chromophore released.

By incorporating a rapid and cheap method of screening to replace the lengthy procedures currently being used, the accurate detection of a UTI and determination of the causative

organism would enable appropriate antibiotic treatment to be given to patients at an early stage.

A common device for identifying substrates in body fluid is a dip-stick. There are two dip-stick formats used in a number of products on the market today. The most commonly used format is the lateral flow e.g. the pregnancy test. A typical lateral flow involves four main sections: sample application pad, conjugate release pad, analytical membrane and the wick (fig 1). Each section will have different characteristics such as absorption, wicking rates and binding properties thereby allowing a good flow through and clear results. The lateral flow format is generally used in the detection of compounds by antibodies and its main advantages are ease of use and speed.

The second format is the flow through which acts to concentrate the sample (fig 2). Greater volumes of fluid can be added to the test. The main disadvantage of the flow though is that in the majority of cases, especially those involving antibodies, a multi-step washing process needs to be carried out, thereby making it unsuitable for home testing.

The applicants have discovered that an improvement to the effectiveness of detection devices can be made by adding filtration means to the devices to separate differently sized microorganisms. Microorganisms can then be directed to discrete areas where they react with particular chromogens.

### **Disclosure of the Invention**

The present invention is a device for the characterisation of microorganisms comprising a porous body having regions of differing pore size said regions being associated with different chromogens specific to enzymes produced by microorganisms.

### **Brief description of the drawings**

The invention is illustrated in the following description with reference to the figures.

Figure 1 shows a typical lateral flow dipstick as known in the art

Figure 2 shows a typical flow through dipstick as known in the art

Figure 3 shows a layered device in accordance with the invention

Figure 4 shows a specific layered device in accordance with the invention

Figure 5 shows a pyramid device in accordance with the invention

Figure 6 shows a panel test device in accordance with the invention

### Detailed description of the Invention

In preparing devices of the present invention, substrates have been utilised in a rapid test for urinary tract infection (UTI). Substrates have been selected on the basis of their ability to detect specific organisms. The enzyme activities include phosphatases, esterases, N-acetyl- $\beta$ -glucosaminidase, galactosidases and glucosidases. For example esterase activity is detectable in a range of bacteria using the chromogenic substrate (SLPA butyrate, PPR Diagnostics Ltd), which gives a bright purple colour on hydrolysis. (Miles *et al.*, 1992, Cooke and Richardson 1999).

The following chromogenic substrates were selected on the basis of their specificity, their ability to produce a coloured end product, and availability:

- 1) **Indoxyl butyrate (IB)** – hydrolysed by esterases.
- 2) **Indoxyl glucoside (IG)** – hydrolysed by the enzyme glucosidase.
- 3) **Esculin** – hydrolysed to esculetin and dextrose. Esculetin then reacts with ferric ammonium citrate to form a brown-black product.
- 4) **Magenta glucoside** – hydrolysed by  $\beta$ -galactopyranoside.
- 5) **Red- $\beta$ -glucuronide** – hydrolysed by  $\beta$ -glucuronide.
- 6) **2-methoxy-4-(2-nitrovinyl) phenyl  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (MNP-glc)** – hydrolysed by glucosidase.
- 7) **2-methoxy-4-(2-nitrovinyl) phenyl  $\beta$ -D-2-acetamido-2-deoxyglucopyranoside (MNP-glcNAc)** – hydrolysed by glucosaminidase.
- 8) **5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indoxyl-beta-D-Glucuronide, Cyclohexylammonium Salt (X-Glc)** – hydrolysed by glucuronidase found only in *E.coli*.
- 9) **5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-indoyl-beta-D-Galactopyranoside (X-gal)** – hydrolysed by galactosidase found only in *E.coli*.

Some substrates are highly susceptible to fluctuations in pH, therefore it was important to assess whether this was the case with these substrates. The pH proved not to be a problem as

demonstrated by tests carried out on IB (table 1). The blue colour produced as a direct result of hydrolysis only developed in the presence of both the esterase enzyme and an alkaline pH, and remains clear when pH 9.90 is added alone.

Added to the Indoxyl butyrate solution.	Colour development after 5 min	Colour development after 15 min	Colour development after 30 min
Esterase enzyme	Clear	Clear	Clear
Esterase enzyme and pH 9.90	Very light blue	Light blue	Blue
pH 9.90	Clear	Clear	Clear
Control (no additions)	Clear	Clear	Clear

*Table 1: indicates that Indoxyl butyrate is not susceptible to non-enzymatic hydrolysis by alkaline pH's.*

Following further testing, additional problems of substrate stability both on and off the membrane became apparent with four of the substrates. Both MNP-glc and MNP-glcNAc substrates which produced a red colour on hydrolysis, were subject to rapid fading of colour after 2 hours. In the case of Red- $\beta$ -glucuronide, the substrate failed to give any colour indication two weeks after initial tests were carried out indicating poor solid substrate stability.

Experimentation into the correct concentrations that were required to achieve clear colour production for each substrate was carried out. These figures have been tabulated below along with substrate preparation, colour production on hydrolysis and the corresponding reacting organisms (table 2).

Organism	Substrates and the organisms that cause their enzymatic hydrolysis					
	Indoxyl butyrate <i>Greyhound</i>	Indoxyl Glucoside <i>Glycosynth</i>	Esculin <i>Sigma</i>	Magenta- glucoside <i>Inalco</i>	X-glc <i>Inalco</i>	X-gal <i>Inalco</i>
Concentrations (100 ml water)	0.04g	0.06g	0.1g + 0.05 Ferric ammonium citrate	0.02g	0.04g	0.02g
<i>E.coli</i>	Blue				Bright turquoise	Bright turquoise
<i>B.subtilis</i>	Blue	Bright turquoise	Black	Pale pink		
<i>P.mirabilis</i>	Blue					
<i>E.faecalis</i>	Blue	Bright turquoise	Black	Purple		
<i>Klebsiella</i>	Blue		Black	Pink		Pale blue
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	Blue	Bright turquoise	Black	Purple		
<i>Candida</i>	Blue					

Table 2: Overview of substrates and the organisms that hydrolyse them.

Indoxyl glucoside was omitted from the test as it was playing an identical role to that of Esculin. This therefore meant that any bright turquoise colour produced was purely the result of *E.coli*.

Having established that esculin, Magenta-G and X-gal and X-glc were feasible for the rapid test, the most appropriate solid matrix was needed. Membranes from three major companies, Whatman, Pall and Schleicher & Schuell, were assessed and compared. Those membranes with high absorptive properties resulting in clear colour production were needed. In addition, to prevent fading of colour, they would have to retain the released chromophore.

Following several repeat experiments to assess the 'best' membrane it became evident that the key component of the membranes that promoted colour development was cellulose. Cellulose membranes were seen to allow greater colour development and were not prone to

fading. The 12S membrane from the Schleicher and Schuell range, a 90% cellulose and 10% rayon membrane, was chosen from the final selection to be incorporated in the dip stick.

The sensitivity of the test is also highly important. With a UTI, it is not the presence of bacteria that is the defining factor, but the level at which they are present. Therefore we must have a test that is able to detect different bacteria, but only from a certain number and upwards i.e. within the region of  $>10^4$  bacteria/ml to avoid false positives. Initial substrate testing was carried out using concentrated liquid cultures of the panel organisms, and therefore the next stage was to test dilution of the liquid cultures using a serial dilution from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-6}$ . These dilutions were tested out on 12S membranes previously soaked in substrate solutions and left to incubate at  $37^\circ\text{C}$ . The times recorded for colour levels to reach a certain intensity were seen to increase at a graduated rate as the level of infection fell e.g. in the detection of *B.subtilis* using Esculin, an infection in the region of  $10^6$  bacterial/ml would be detected within  $\frac{1}{2}$  hr where as a borderline case ( $10^4$  bacteria/ml), would take up to 3 hrs.

In order to speed up reaction times magnesium was added, and identical experiments were carried out incorporating a solution of 0.1M  $\text{MgCl}_2$  to assess whether or not colour production by the substrates improved. 12S membranes were soaked individually in a solution of the substrate and  $\text{MgCl}_2$  for 3 hours, dried at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  and cut into 2cm x 0.5cm strips to which samples of the panel organisms were added (table 3).

Organisms	Esculin		Magenta-glucoside		X-galactoside		Glucuronide	
	Substrate only	+ $\text{MgCl}_2$	Substrate only	+ $\text{MgCl}_2$	Substrate only	+ $\text{MgCl}_2$	Substrate only	+ $\text{MgCl}_2$
<i>E.coli</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2hr	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ hr	2 hrs	1 hr
<i>B.subtilis</i>	1 hr	40min	No colour	3 hrs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>E.faecalis</i>	2 hr	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ hrs	2 hrs	2 hrs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	2 hrs	1hr 40min	3 hrs	2 hrs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Klebsiella</i>	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ hrs	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ hrs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 3: Comparison of reaction times to give a positive colour with and without  $\text{MgCl}_2$  for each of the panel organisms.

The results indicate that the  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  ions do enhance the reaction times, especially when there are a lower number of the organisms present, therefore increasing the test sensitivity.

*B.subtilis* also appears to be reacting with the Magenta-g substrate as a pale pink colour developed after 3 hours incubation. This was not evident in previous experiments, and is most likely the result of the  $MgCl_2$ . The pale pink colour produced compared to that of the deep pink/purple colour seen in the case of *E.faecalis*, may still be sufficient in order to differentiate between the two organisms. Overall the identification of an infection from a high level i.e.  $>10^5$  bacteria/ml infection to a possible borderline case would take from 1 to 3 hours.

$\beta$ -galactoside substrate is often used in the identification of *E.coli* strains on agar plates, where a blue colour indicates the enzymes presence. In addition, by incorporating IPTG in the agar, colour production is greatly enhanced.

To test if addition of IPTG was a possible method to increase colour production on the 12S membranes, 0.5ml of 100mM IPTG was added to 50ml of distilled water containing 0.02g X-gal and used to soak 12S membranes. There was a slight improvement in the intensity of the colour production, which following the initial tests was further improved by doubling the quantity of 100mM IPTG used. This experiment further confirmed that the substrate was specific to *E.coli* and was able to detect the bacteria present at sensitive levels without producing any false positives (table 4). Further improvements in the detection of *E.coli* were made by combining both the  $\beta$ -galactoside substrate with the glucoside to give a clear, bright turquoise colour on the 12S membrane following hydrolysis.



<b>E.coli concentration levels in urine Serial dilution</b>	<b>OXOID - PO0794 Chromogenic results</b>	<b>UTI Levels</b>	<b>Confirmed Detection Results</b>
$10^{-1}$	Uncountable no. of colonies	Definite UTI +++	Infection detected in 1 hr, and confirmed in 2 hrs
$10^{-2}$	60 colonies	UTI ++	Infection detected in 2 hrs and confirmed in 4 hrs
$10^{-3}$	11 colonies	No UTI	Colour was seen >4 hrs
$10^{-4}$	0 colonies	No UTI	No infection
$10^{-5}$	3 colonies	No UTI	No infection
$10^{-6}$	0 colonies	No UTI	No infection
$10^{-7}$	0 colonies	No UTI	No infection
<b>Control urine sample</b>	0 colonies	No UTI	No infection
<b>Autoclaved urine sample</b>	0 colonies	No UTI	No infection

Table 4: Detection times for *E.coli* using X-gal substrate with IPTG.

Urine contains a number of constituents which will vary in amounts from individual to individual. In addition, chemical balances such as the pH level have the potential to affect the substrates causing possible non-enzymatic hydrolysis and false positive results. Therefore it was essential to test the substrate under such harsh conditions. Liquid cultures of individual organisms were used to spike urine samples and left to incubate at 37°C for 2 ½ hours (average urine retention time in the bladder) prior to analysis. OXOID chromogenic media CM949 identifies *E.coli*, *E.faecalis* and *B.subtilis* infections in urine following 24 hour incubation on the agar. Spiked urine samples were also tested with this media using MAST Bacteruritest strips (product reference BTR1), the formation of 20 colonies or more would confirm a UTI infection. Testing of the rapid membrane system was run along side

this standard method used in laboratories today to validate whether there was an infection at numbers  $>10^4$  Bacteria/ml in the spiked urine samples.

It was important to assess the sensitivity of the substrates in this new environment. Therefore urine samples were spiked with three bacteria concentration levels and following 2½ hrs incubation at 37°C, samples were applied to the 12S membranes previously soaked in the various substrates (table 5). The only substrate that was affected by the urine was IB, which was seen to give false positives. Naturally occurring urine esterases were later found to be the cause, resulting in the substrate being 'omitted' from the test. Detection levels have remained within the region of 1 hour to 3 hours depending on the level of infection.

Organism	Conc. levels in urine	OXOID Chromogenic substrate results	UTI Levels	Confirmed Detection Results
<b>E.coli</b>	$10^{-1}$	Uncountable no. of colonies	Definite UTI +++	Definite E.coli detection in 2-3hrs
	$10^{-2}$	Uncountable no. of colonies	Definite UTI +++	Definite E.coli detection in 2-3hrs
	$10^{-3}$	50 colonies	UTI ++	Definite E.coli detection in 3-4hrs
<b>B.subtilis</b>	$10^{-1}$	Uncountable no. of colonies	Definite UTI +++	Definite infection detection in 1 hr
	$10^{-2}$	Uncountable no. of colonies	Definite UTI +++	Definite infection detection in 1-2 hrs
	$10^{-3}$	Uncountable no. of colonies	Definite UTI +++	Definite infection detection in 2-3 hrs
<b>E.faecalis</b>	$10^{-1}$	Uncountable no. of colonies	Definite UTI +++	Definite infection detection in 1 hr
	$10^{-2}$	60 colonies	UTI ++	Definite infection detection in 1 hr
	$10^{-3}$	10 colonies	No UTI	Colour seen after 4 hrs.
<b>Control urine sample</b>	N/A	No colonies	No UTI	No infection
<b>Autoclaved urine sample</b>	N/A	No colonies	No UTI	No infection

*Table 5: Detection Times for three of the Panel Organisms in Spiked Urine.*

We have established that a 12S membrane is the most suitable for the 'analytical membrane' or the colour development pad. However it was necessary to identify the most suitable membranes for the sample application pad (SAP) and the wick, as these effect both sample volume added and flow through.

The function of the sample application pad is not only to act as a pre-filter, but also to stabilise the sample with respect to pH and salt conditions. The pH of urine is known to vary from pH 5-11, and therefore in order to ensure that the enzymes are able to hydrolyse the substrates within reasonable time limits, and that the substrates are not broken down non-enzymatically as a result of conditions which are too acidic or alkaline, the sample application pad is soaked in a phosphate buffer. A number of membranes were tested for use as the sample application pad, all of which had a hydrophobic matrix with no affinity or a negative affinity to the sample. Of the membranes we tested, the 903 membrane from the Schleicher and Schuell range was selected due to the rapid absorption of the sample thereby allowing a reasonable volume to be added, and good even colour production.

The wick has similar quality requirements to that of the sample application pad in that it must have good absorption properties to prevent the backflow of the sample and to act as a sink, drawing the liquid down the entire length of the strip. However it must not drag the colour down to the base of the strip as this would effect the reading of the sample. This was found to be the case when the more absorptive membranes were tested. Following several tests, the 903 membrane was again selected to act as the wick.

We also had to consider three additional factors when designing the UTI dipstick format:

- 1) The feasibility of incorporating several substrates on to one membrane.
- 2) Separation of the individual organisms based on physical parameters therefore allowing specific identification.
- 3) Concentration of the sample.

Experiments to discern whether it was possible to incorporate more than one substrate on to the membrane, and that it would react with the correct organism proved to be successful. There was no decrease in colour production and in the case where one organism reacted with both substrates, a hybrid colour of the two was clearly evident.

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**

At this stage it was important to separate the organisms as *B.subtilis*, *E.faecalis* and *Pseudomonas* were reacting with the same combination of substrates. This made them detectable, but detection was not specific to the individual organisms. However their physical properties such as size and charge can be used to separate out the organisms, and therefore by combining a step-by step filtration system into the dipstick we were able to see reactions on different membranes which would be specific to that individual organism.

There are a wide range of membrane pore sizes available. For the purposes of separation of the different organisms, we required membranes whose pore sizes fell within a range of 0.3µm to 4.0µm. Membranes from the Schleicher & Schuell range and Whatman range were selected on the basis of these characteristics.

ME26 with pore size of 0.6µm

GF/F with pore size of 0.7µm

ME27 with pore size of 0.8µm

GF/B with pore size of 1.0µm

ME28 with pore size of 1.2µm

GF/AVA with pore size of 1.7µm

ME29 with pore size of 3.0µm

G/DVA with pore size of 3.5µm

Filtration experiments were then carried out in order to assess their capabilities in separating the organisms. ME26 did not allow any of the organisms through as the pores were too small. ME27 allows colonies of *E.coli*, *B.subtilis* and *Klebsiella* to pass through, separating out *Pseudomonas* and *E.faecalis*. In contrast ME29 allows all organisms to pass through.

Filtration of organisms through the membranes was seen as follows:

	ME26	GF/F	ME27	GF/B	ME28	GF/AVA	ME29	G/DVA
<i>E.Coli</i>	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>Klebsiella</i>	x	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>B.Subtilis</i>	x	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	x	x	x	x	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>E.Faecalis</i>	x	x	x	x	x	✓	✓	✓

*Table 6: Filtration of organisms through membranes*

The third objective which is concerned with the concentration of the sample was then solved by incorporating several layers, into the dipstick. This approach aids in concentrating the bacteria as the liquid is dragged through to the base.

Therefore having taken all these factors into consideration, the dip-stick prototype was produced (fig 3). Windows 1, 2 and 3 refer to the colour development pads which when viewed from the top will give a reading. By applying approximately 0.5 ml of a sample on to the sample application pad, and periodically checking the dipstick for colour development in the windows while at 37°C, the patients outcome can be assessed.

Through out the trial runs of various dipstick prototypes, a number of additional considerations that needed to be taken into account came to light. One of the major problems was the substrate concentration on the membranes and their order on the dipstick. Following the first round of experiments, colour from one membrane was seen to migrate to the adjoining ones, combining the colours and therefore preventing a clear reading from being taken. By experimenting with the substrate order and concentration (table 7) as well as the level to which the adjoining membranes overlapped a solution was provided for this problem.

Organism	Conc. Levels in Urine - serial dilution	OXOID Chromogenic	UTI Levels	Assessment of Format 1	Assessment of Format 2	Assessment of Format 3
<b>E.coli</b>	$10^{-1}$	Deep pink +++	High level UTI	E.coli detected in 1 hr	E.coli detected in ½ hr	E.coli detected in 1 ½ hr
	$10^{-3}$	40 colonies	UTI	E.coli detected in 1 hr	E.coli detected in 3 hrs	E.coli detected in 3 hrs
	$10^{-5}$	10 colonies	No infection	<b>E.coli detected in 1 hr.</b>	No infection	No infection
<b>B.subtilis</b>	$10^{-1}$	Dark green +++	High level UTI	<b>No infection</b>	Pink in 3 hrs	Pink and brown in 3 hrs
	$10^{-3}$	30 colonies	UTI	<b>No infection</b>	Blue in 3 hrs	Pink and brown in 3 hrs
	$10^{-5}$	5 colonies	No infection	No infection	<b>Blue in 4 hrs</b>	No infection
<b>E.faecalis</b>	$10^{-1}$	Light blue ++	High level UTI	Brown in 1 hr	Pink and brown in 2 hrs	Pink and brown in 1 hr
	$10^{-3}$	25 colonies	UTI	<b>Blue in 3 hrs</b>	Blue in 2 hrs, pink in 3 hrs	Pink and brown in 3 hrs
	$10^{-5}$	2 light blue and 3 pink E.coli colonies	No infection	<b>Blue in 3 hrs</b>	<b>Blue and pink in 3 hrs</b>	<b>Blue in 3 hrs.</b>
<b>Pseudomonas</b>	$10^{-1}$	Light blue +++	High level UTI	Brown in 1 hr	Pink and brown in 1 ½ hr	Pink and brown in 1 ½ hr
	$10^{-3}$	20 colonies	Borderline case	Blue in 1 hr	Blue in 3 hrs	Pink and brown in 4 hrs
	$10^{-5}$	1 colony	No infection	No infection	No infection	No infection
<b>Mixed Urine Sample</b>	$10^{-1}$	Mix of deep pink and dark green +++	High level UTI	E.coli detection in 1 ½ hrs	E.coli detection in 1 hr and pink in 2hrs.	E.coli detection in ½ hr and pink in 1 ½ hr
	$10^{-3}$	15 E.coli and 20 B.subtilis colonies	UTI	<b>No infection</b>	E.coli in 1 hr and pink in 3 hrs	E.coli 3 hrs and pink in 3 hrs
	$10^{-5}$	6 E.coli and 10 B.subtilis colonies.	Borderline infection	<b>No infection</b>	<b>No infection</b>	Pink in 3 hrs.
<b>Control Urine Sample</b>	N/A	No infection	No infection	No infection	No infection	No infection
<b>Autoclaved Urine Sample</b>	N/A	No infection	No infection	No infection	No infection	No infection

Format 1 (W1= IB, W2= Mag-g +X-gal + glc, W3= Esculin (0.04g/50ml))

Format 2 (W1=IB, W2=Mag-g, W3=Esculin (0.04g/50ml) + X-gal+ glc )

Format 3 (W1= Mag-g W2= X-gal + glc W3= Esculin (0.05g/50ml))

*Table 7: A comparison of three different dipstick formats. Bold writing indicates false results.*

It was found that by placing the X-gal and Glc at position W3, the bright blue colour produced on hydrolysis was maintained in this window. If the sample contained organisms that reacted with both Esculin and X-gal + Glc, then the colours were strong enough for both to come through. Secondly, the end format involving the separation of the organisms using the ME membranes effected the substrates final combinations, the format as shown in figure 4 having 3 windows and using the reagents Magenta–g, X-gal + glc and Esculin was selected. A number of possible readings can be seen and have been shown below in order to indicate how they would be interpreted (table 8). Window 1 shows the results of ME28 with esculin and Mag-g membrane, window 2 shows the results of ME27 with esculin and Mag-g membrane, window 3 shows the results of 12S or ME27 with esculin, Mag-g and X-gal and X-glc membrane subject to filtration though ME27 membrane.

Results	UTI infection	Reasons
<b>W3=blue</b>	E.coli	Only E.coli reacts with X-gal and glc to produce a blue colour.
<b>W1= brown</b> <b>W2= brown</b> <b>W3=brown + blue</b>	E.coli and B.subtilis	Same as above and B.subtilis is able to pass through all the filters and only produces a strong colour with Esculin
<b>W1=brown+ purple</b> <b>W2= brown</b> <b>W3= brown</b>	B.subtilis and E.feacalis	Same reason as above for B.subtilis. E.faecalis reacts with both Esculin and Mag-g strongly, however is unable to pass through the ME28 membrane.
<b>W1=pink + brown</b> <b>W2=pink + brown</b> <b>W3= brown</b>	B.subtilis, Pseudomonas and possibly E.feacalis	Same reasons as above for B.subtilis. Definitely Pseudomonas present as able to pass through ME28. E.faecalis could be involved, but unlikely to have such a multiple level infection.

W1 = window 1, W2 = window 2, W3 = window 3

*Table 8: Examples of possible infections and their interpretations.*



In order to design a successful dipstick it was necessary to consider the substrate order (individually soaked membranes and/or combinations) and type, the use of separate filters or combined filters and their properties, the length of overlap between membranes to avoid colour overlap, the membrane type used for the analytical membrane, the sample application pad and type of membrane used and size, and the type of membrane used for the wick and its size.

Manufacturing factors which were considered included, length of time to soak membrane in substrate solutions, length of membrane drying time at 37°C and conditions, substrate concentrations used and storage conditions of membranes i.e. shelf life.

#### Panel Test

The panel test as viewed from above and is seen as a series of rows comprising of individual squares. Each row corresponds to a substrate, and each column to the filtration capacity. The filter is placed over the membrane containing the chromophores. When testing out a sample of urine, approximately 0.5ml of urine should be applied to the centre of each square slowly to prevent maximum downward absorption. Liquid then filters through the pore sized membranes and organisms selectively contact the substrate depending on the degree of filtration. The panel test should then be placed on a flat surface in a well ventilated room set at 37°C, and periodically checked for colour development.

#### Interpretation

The combination of colours that are produced as a result of the enzymatic breakdown of the substrates, and particularly the squares that they appear in, will allow the user to assess not only if the patient has a UTI, but the causative organism. Examples of how this is done have been shown below. Filters used were as follows:-

Filter 1 = ME29, Filter 2 = AVA, Filter 3 = ME28

Example 1: Results following 4 hours incubation at 37°C.

	No filter	Filter 1	Filter 2	Filter 3
Esculin	No colour	No colour	No colour	No colour
X-soln	N/A	Strong blue	Strong blue	Light blue

Mag-g	No colour	No colour	No colour	No colour
-------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

From these results, we can confirm that the sample tested contained a microorganism as colour development occurred. As this colour development occurred with the X-gal and glc reagent, the causative organism was *E.coli*, which is able to pass through all three filters.

Example 2:

	No filter	Filter 1	Filter 2	Filter 3
Esculin	Brown	Light Brown	No colour	No colour
X-soln	N/A	No colour	No colour	No colour
Mag-g	Purple/pink	Pink	No colour	No colour

Again the patient is confirmed to have a UTI, however *E.coli* is not the causative organism as there is no colour development with the X-solution. Colour development has occurred with both esculin and mag-g. Three of our organisms will react with only Esculin and Mag-g, these are *E.faecalis*, *Pseudomonas* and *B.subtilis*. *Pseudomonas* and *B.subtilis* would be able to pass through filter 2 but there is no colour here, therefore these organisms are ruled out. only *B.subtilis* would be able to pass through filter 3, but there is no colour here, therefore *B.subtilis* is ruled out. Therefore the causative organism is *E.faecalis*, which can pass through filter 1.

Example 3:

	No filter	Filter 1	Filter 2	Filter 3
Esculin	Brown	Brown	Brown	Light brown
X-soln	N/A	Dark blue	Dark blue	Blue
Mag-g	Pink	Pink	Pink	Light pink

The majority of UTI's are caused by a single dominant organism, but in a few there may be high levels of more than one. In some of these cases it may be possible to identify both of the organisms, as above. This sample would contain both *E.coli* and *B.subtilis*. Only *E.coli* will react with X-solution and pass through all three filters, only *B.subtilis* will react with both Esculin and Mag-g and pass through filter 3.

## Device embodiments

Embodiments of the present invention comprises layers of substrate, commencing with a backing card, followed by a wick and one or more colour development pads containing reagents to identify components, a sample application pad is presented on the top of the device. The devices may be encased in plastic or other suitable material and can have any number of windows, each corresponding with an area on the colour development pad below. This way, the device can be packaged, but the results not obscured by the packaging.

## Preparing Membranes

Examples are all based on volumes of solutions required to soak a 5 cm x 5 cm membrane, volumes can be adjusted in order to accommodate larger membranes.

### Example 1

*Preparing an esculin Membrane.*

A mixture of 0.1g of esculin and 0.05g of ferric ammonium citrate is dissolved in 100 ml distilled water. A solution of 0.1 M  $\text{MgCl}_2$  is prepared by adding 2.033g of  $\text{MgCl}_2$  to 100 ml of distilled water. 2 ml of  $\text{MgCl}_2$  is added to 10 ml of the esculin solution and mixed well.

A 903 membrane is placed in a sterile container and the  $\text{MgCl}_2$ /Esculin solution poured over the membrane. The membrane is allowed to soak for 2 – 3 hours at room temperature.

The membrane is then removed from the solution and placed in a container to dry at 37°C in a well ventilated area. To allow even drying containers should be semi-closed. Drying may take up to 8 hours depending on conditions.

### Example 2

*Preparing an X-gal + X-glc membrane*

*Preparing X-gal solution*

A mixture of 0.04g of 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-indoyl-beta-D-Galactopyranoside (X-gal) substrate is dissolved in 1ml of 80% Dimethylformamide (DMF).

*Preparing X-glc*

A mixture of 0.02g of 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indoxyl-beta-D-Glucuronide and cyclohexylammonium salt substrate is dissolved in 1 ml of 80% DMF.

Both X-gal and X-glc are added together to 100 ml of distilled water with 1 ml of 100 mM IPTG. The same soaking and drying procedure described in example 1 is applied.

### **Example 3**

#### *Preparing Magenta – glucoside membrane*

A mixture of 0.02g Bromo-6-Chloro-3-Indolyl- $\beta$ -D-Galactopyranoside (Mag-g) is dissolved in 80% DMF, and then added to 100 ml of distilled water. The same soaking and drying procedure described in example 1 is applied.

### **Example 4**

#### *Preparing a phosphate buffered membrane*

1g  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 1g  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  is added to 600 ml distilled water and the pH adjusted to pH 7.8 using 1M solutions of these sodium salts.

A membrane is placed in a sterile container and 10 ml of the phosphate solution is poured over the 903 membrane and left to soak for 2-3 hrs at room temperature. The membrane is then removed from the solution and placed in a container to dry at 37°C in a well ventilated area. To allow even drying containers should be semi-closed. Drying may take up to 8 hours depending on conditions.

### **Preparing Devices**

Devices can be formulated into a number of different arrangements, suited to different testing environments.

#### **Format 1**

##### *Layered dipstick*

The layered dipstick shown in figure 3 comprises a multilayer arrangement of membranes and pore sized filters. The sample for testing is applied to the sample application pad at one end of the device, fluid is then drawn along the device to wick placed at the opposing end of the device. The sample for testing is first contacted with the uppermost membrane, fluid then passes through a first filtration membrane to remove the largest of organisms, and the sample

is contacted with the next membrane containing a chromophore. The sample then meets a second filter which draws out intermediate sized organisms and is then contacted with the next membrane. The device is assembled onto a backing card.

## **Format 2**

### *The pyramid device*

The pyramid device functions in much the same way as the layered dipstick device, but the layers are arranged in a different way. Membranes of progressively smaller size are applied one on top of another with filtration membranes between diagnostic membranes. A sample application pad is placed on the top of the stack. When a test is carried out the sample is applied to the sample application pad and is subsequently drawn downwards through the filters and membranes containing chromophores. Such an arrangement can be seen in figure 5. A casing having windows revealing appropriate diagnostic membranes can be placed around the pyramid.

## **Format 3**

### *Panel test device*

The panel test arrangement is shown in figure 6 and comprises a number of rows and columns. Each row comprises a different substrate and each column, placed on over the row corresponds to a different filter. This arrangement allows different degrees of filtration to act on different areas of the same membrane, therefore identifying which organism is present. Figure 6 shows an embodiment which the panel test is contained within a plastic casing having windows revealing sample application pads, solution to be tested is placed in each window and left to incubate. After incubation, to read the results the test is turned over and the potential colours that may develop, depending on infection, will be seen through transparent windows on the underside of the test. The type of infection present in the sample tested, will be determined through the absence or presence of a colour and the combination of colours seen. Test results can be cross referenced with a key printed onto the casing.

## **Format 4**

### *Individual substrate device*

Where tests are required for one particular organism only, there is no need to present an arrangement as demonstrated in formats 1 – 3, a viable test can be produced comprising only one membrane, in a similar arrangement to format 1, but comprising a sample application

pad, a membrane impregnated with a chromophores and a wick, presented on a backing card. A basic arrangement such as this is more cost effective than a multi-organism testing device.

The diagnostic tests have been designed for use on hospital wards, in doctor's surgery's, pathology laboratories and in various outpatient departments with the possibility of direct patient use, perhaps in the home. Therefore simplicity in its use will be paramount in order to ensure that directions are followed and that the end results are interpreted correctly.

A sample of the patient's urine will be applied to the sample application pad either directly or indirectly. The test should then be placed in an incubator set at 37°C to allow colour to develop, and periodically checked at intervals of ½ hr for no longer than 4 hrs. The results are viewed through windows 1-3, and compared against a chart to allow correct interpretation. The development of a colour/s, the combination of colours and time taken, will allow the reader to conclude if indeed the patient has a UTI, the bacteria involved.

### **Adaptation of test for different Organisms**

The nature of UTI varies in different countries and continents. The test can be modified to detect different mixes of bacteria or individual bacteria which maybe more of a threat in a particular location or country.

#### *E.coli* Dipstick.

*E.coli* causes the majority of UTI cases reported, with figures varying between 50-85% depending on geographical location. Detection is specific as X-gal and X-glc only react with *E.coli* and therefore a dipstick dedicated solely to its detection would be useful in the field. In addition production costs of a less complex test would be driven down resulting in a cheap method of screening. Factors such as costs play a critical role in the level of healthcare available and are therefore important.

#### *Pseudomonas* Dipstick.

There has been a reported increase in non-EU countries in resistance rates of *P.aeruginosa* to certain antibiotics. This is largely due to oversubscribing of antibiotics to patients without determining the exact cause of the infection. Therefore by providing a means of detection

through the use of a simple, cheap and rapid test would allow more effective screening of patients during the first stages of assessment, and hence correct treatment.

Different combination of reagents and membranes can be provided to test for different bacteria. Devices can be manufactured for use in technical laboratories, where the need for casing and indicator cards may not be required. For the public market, plastic casing with windows and a results interpretation card can be provided. One embodiment is envisaged where a colour key is provided on the casing adjacent each window, detailing the results corresponding to each possible colour.

## CLAIMS

1. A device for the characterisation of microorganisms comprising a porous body having regions of differing pore size said regions being associated with different chromogens specific to enzymes produced by microorganisms.
2. A device as claimed in claim 1 where the porous body comprises membranes impregnated with chromogens.
3. A device as claimed in claims 1 and 2 in which the porous body comprises a plurality of membranes having differing pore sizes
4. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 3 where pore sizes vary from 0.6 $\mu$ m to 3.5 $\mu$ m.
5. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 4 where the membranes are cellulose membranes.
6. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 5 in which the chromogens are specific to different enzymes.
7. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 6 in which the chromogens impart a characteristic colour to different bacterial colonies.
8. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 7 where the chromogenic substrate is chosen from Indoxyl butyrate, Indoxyl glucoside, Esculin, Magenta glucoside, Red- $\beta$ -glucuronide, 2-methoxy-4-(2-nitrovinyl) phenyl  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (MNP-glc), 2-methoxy-4-(2-nitrovinyl) phenyl  $\beta$ -D-2-acetamido-2-deoxyglucopyranoside (MNP-glcNAc), 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indoxyl-beta-D-Glucuronide, Cyclohexylammonium Salt (X-Glc), 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-indoyl-beta-D-Galactopyranoside (X-gal).
9. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 8 where the porous body further comprises a phosphate buffer.
10. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 9 where the porous body further comprises IPTG.



11. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 10 where the porous body further comprises  $Mg^{2+}$  ions.

12. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 11 where the membranes are presented in a layered arrangement, the uppermost layer comprising a sample application pad of 903 membrane impregnated with a phosphate buffer, subsequent regions are in the form of layers having pore sizes of  $3.0\mu m$ ,  $1.2\mu m$ ,  $0.8\mu m$  and  $0.6\mu m$  respectively.

13. A device as claimed in claims 1 to 11 where the membranes are presented in a row and column arrangements, each row comprising a particular substrate and each column comprising a different filter.

14. A method for characterising bacteria using a device as claimed in any of claims 1 to 13 comprising the steps of

a) applying a solution containing bacteria to the porous body in an area having the largest pore size,


b) allowing the solution to wick through the porous body,

c) leaving the device to develop in an incubator set to  $37^{\circ}C$ ,


assessing the colours developed on the device in order to ascertain the bacteria present.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)





*Fig 1: A Typical Lateral Flow Dipstick.*



*Fig 2: A typical Flow Through Dipstick.*

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Figure 3




Figure 4

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Figure 5





Figure 6


THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)





903 SAP

After colour development



FILE PAGE BLANK (USPTO)